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Michigan’s Budgetary Morass

- 7 years of spending cuts
- FY2007 General Fund revenues lower than in FY1996
- School Aid fund annual growth since 2000: 1.4%
- $8 billion in one-time resources used
- Reserves exhausted
- Weakened connection between revenue structure and the economy
- Spending pressures growing faster than revenues
The Future

- Projections seldom made beyond the current budget year

- Failure to estimate the future consequences of current actions leads to unpleasant surprises

- Knowing where we are headed helps justify actions to change the future
Scope of the Analysis

- Ten-year scenarios of the Michigan economy with varying assumptions about:
  - Auto industry
  - Office furniture
  - Chemicals
  - Hi-tech

- WE Upjohn staff used Regional Economic Models, Inc. (REMI) to prepared economic projections.

- Economic projections translated to revenue projections and projections of spending pressures.
The Economy

Mid-range Projections-Assumptions

- Continuous moderately growing U.S. economy (GDP 2.7% annual real growth)
- Decline in domestic name-plate market share slows
- Employment in office furniture stable
- Employment in chemicals declines
- R&D employment expands

Overall a moderately improving economy
Summary of Results

Major Indicators

Annual rates of change

- Total Employment (-0.07%)
- Labor Force (-0.25%)
- Real Gross State Product (+1.2%)
- Labor productivity (+1.6%)
- Personal Income (+4.2%)
- Payrolls (+3.8%)
- Population (-0.04%)

These statistics better than last 6 years
Job Gains and Losses
38,000 Overall Decline

Job Losses
- Goods producing—228,000
- Retail and wholesale trade—62,000
- Government—19,000

Job Gains
- Health care—134,000
- Business services—52,000
- Social assistance—33,000
- Recreation and amusement—27,000
Population in 2017
Major Changes in Demographic Composition Ahead

- Total Population—Down 41,000 (0.4%)
- School Age (5-17)—Down 257,000 (14%)
- Higher Education (18-24)—Down 84,000 (9%)
- Labor Force (16-64)—Down 262,000 (4%)
- Seniors (65+)—Up 413,000 (31%)
Michigan's Changing Population
2007 to 2017

-14.3%
-8.5%
-3.9%
+31.5%
Implications for State Government Finance

Revenues

- Revenues from the current revenue system will grow slowly—even more slowly than the economy.
- Revenue declining as share of personal income.
- Official FY2008 forecasts used as the beginning point.
Revenues

- Analysis concentrates on General Fund and School Aid Fund revenues
- Local government revenue sharing also included
- Transportation revenues included
- Each revenue source is projected separately based on the economic projections
- Revenues are aggregated into total revenues available from current tax structure
Michigan Business Tax
*Fully Reflected in Analysis*

- Two new taxes replace SBT: Income and Modified Gross Receipts
- Significant personal property tax (PPT) relief (18-mill PPT exemption and PPT credit)
- Numerous new credits (compensation, investment, R&D, etc.)
- Schools held harmless from PPT loss and from declines in overall MBT revenue
- Net fiscal effect: revenue neutral ($2.3B to GF and SAF in FY09)
- Revenue trigger for three years (limits growth)
Major Revenue Sources-Six Taxes

- **Personal Income Tax**-divided between General and School Aid Funds
- **Sales Tax**-principally allocated to School Aid and Revenue Sharing
- **Use Tax**-Divided between General and School Aid Funds
- **Michigan Business Tax**-General and School Aid Funds
- **Tobacco Taxes**-Divided between General and School Aid Funds and Medicaid program
- **State Education Property Tax**-School Aid Fund
Six Taxes

- Account for 85% of General and School Aid Funds revenues
- Aggregate growth rate about 3%
- Higher growth rate will be needed to keep up with spending pressures increases
- Remaining sources trend growth less than 2%
FY2007 Sources of General and School Aid Fund Revenues

- Income, $6,335.6
- Sales, $5,417.2
- Business, $2,026.3
- Use, $1,394.5
- Tobacco, $681.9
- Property, $2,069.2
- Other, $3,378.0
FY2017 Sources of General and School Aid Fund Revenues

- Income, $7,859
- Sales, $7,202
- Business, $3,264
- Tobacco, $533
- Property, $3,127
- Use, $1,955
- Other, $3,799
Growth Rates—General and School Aid Revenues (FY2008-FY2017)

- Income—3%
- Business—3%
- Sales—3%
- Use—3.5%
- Tobacco—Minus 2.5%
- State Education (Property)—4.5%
- Other Sources—1.8%
Reasons for Sluggish Revenue Growth

• Increasing Senior Citizen Population—Retirement income not taxed and spend less on goods
• Consumption taxes goods oriented—economic growth is in service sector
• Slow or no growth revenues drag down overall growth (e.g. tobacco, gambling, alcohol)
• Flat rate income tax
Spending Pressures

Analysis covers nine major areas

- School Aid
- Higher education
- Medical care
- Corrections
- Human services
- Mental health
- Revenue sharing with local governments
- Employee compensation and benefits
- Transportation
School Aid Structural Deficit

Spending Pressures Outpace Revenue Growth

- Retirement Contributions—rapid growth
- Employee Health Insurance—rapid growth
- General Pay Raises
- Other—Fuel, Utilities, Supplies
- Revenues Growing Slowly
School Aid Revenues & Spending Pressures

- Spending pressures grow 5% per year
- Revenues grow 3% per year
- Shortfall of 2% each and every year without spending and revenue policy changes
Higher Education

- Enrollments at all-time high
- Increased participation needed to make Michigan competitive
- State support has lagged behind costs placing pressure on tuition
- Increased state support required to produce more college graduates
- Revenues will not grow fast enough to maintain state share of costs without cuts elsewhere
Medical Care

Health care everywhere in budget
Growing faster than revenues

Largest component in state budget
- Medicaid
- Health insurance for school and state employees
- Health insurance for school and state retirees
- Prisoners
Medicaid

- Medical care for 1 in 7 Michigan citizens
- Future spending growth pressures 8% to 9% annually
- Some state revenues dedicated to Medicaid do not grow—Tobacco Settlement revenues, Cigarette Tax
- General Fund requirements grow faster than total Medicaid spending
- General Fund spending pressures outpace revenue growth by 3 to 4 times
Corrections

- Largest state-operated program
- 30% of state employees
- More than 50,000 prisoners
- 58 prisons and camps
- $30,000 per prisoner cost per year
- $1.9 billion budget
- Incarceration rate 40% higher than Great Lakes neighbors—the result: $500 million higher costs
- Spending pressures increasing twice as fast as revenues in an improving economy
Corrections

Background
- Since 2000: population growth 1.3%/yr
  - 2 years of declines (’03 and ’04)
  - Recent increases in ’05 and ’06
- Workforce more than tripled since 1980, today 1 in 3 work for corrections

Spending Trends
- Growth since 1980: 9.2%/yr
- Per capita spending: ’80 – $18  
  Today — $177
- 1 in 5 General Fund dollars ($1.8 B)
- Fueled by Michigan’s disproportionate incarceration rate – 40% higher than neighbors
States With More Than 500 Prisoners Per 100,000 Residents
Corrections

Spending Pressures

• Population growth ‘07 to ‘11: 2.2%/yr or about 1,200 prisoners/yr
  • $37M additional per year
• Health care for prisoners ($5,400/prisoner per year)
• Aging prisoner population
• Wage and salary increases for employees
• New construction?

• Overall: corrections spending pressures will be more than double (7 to 8%) General Fund revenue growth of 2.8% during forecast
Human Services

- About 13% of General Fund ($1.2 B)
- General shift from cash assistance to services as a result of welfare reform
- Primarily caseload driven – continue to rise in future
- No adjustment to cash grant since 1993
  - Recipients becoming “poorer”
  - Some increase expected in forecast
- Future: spending pressures rise faster (3.5%) than revenue growth (2.8%)
Mental Health

Background
- Little discretion in program, Art. VIII, Sec. 8
- Shift from state- to locally-delivered services
- Result: little relief to state budget
- Medicaid assuming larger role
- Managed care provided some relief
- Over $2 billion spent annually

Spending Trends
- Since FY90: below total state spending - 4.2% compared to 5.1%
- Since FY00: slowed to 3%, but equals total
  - Greater than GF spending (-0.6%)
Mental Health

Spending Pressures

• General health care increases, specifically prescription drug costs
• Aging population – more users
• Medicaid as an entitlement

• Overall: growth of at least 3% annually compared to General Fund revenue growth of 2.8% during forecast
Employee Benefits

- Benefits significant portion of public budgets
  - State: from 34% to 57% of base payroll between FY98 and FY06
- Major driver is health care for current and retired employees
  - State employees: over $9,000/yr
  - School employees: over $8,000/yr
- Failure to “pre-fund” retiree health = stresses budgets
  - School retiree health more than double from FY07 to FY17, from 6.6% to 14% of payroll
- Future growth of 7% to 9% annually
Transportation

- Over $2.0 billion in state revenue raised annually, about 50/50 between fuel taxes and vehicle registrations

Problem

- Fuel tax revenues, flat in nominal terms, but negative 4.2% annually in real terms
- Registration revenues rising, but not enough

Why

- Fixed per-gallon tax (19 cents, last raised in 1997)
- Decreased consumption due to fuel efficiency, alternative fuels, and cost of fuel
Transportation

Spending Pressures

- System deterioration, unable to sustain condition goals (90% “good”)
- Significant “backlog” needs (primarily expansion of highway system)

- Overall: Spending pressures of 5% annually (compared to 3% revenue growth)
  - “gap” of $3 billion over 10 years
  - excludes “backlog” ($5.4 billion over next 25 years)
Michigan Local Governments

- Counties, cities, villages, townships facing structural deficits as well

- Health care cost driving expenses

- Individual units not experiencing full property tax revenue growth due to:
  - Cap on assessment increases
  - Headlee tax rate reductions
Michigan Local Governments

- 5 years of State Revenue Sharing funds diverted to General Fund for other needs
- Assume current funding will serve as base for future growth
  - State keeps what has been diverted
  - Locals experience growth on current base
  - Counties re-enter program
General Fund Revenues and Spending Pressures

- Spending pressures grow 6.5% per year
- Revenues grow 2.8% per year
- Shortfall of 3.7% each and every year without spending and revenue
- Policy changes required
General Fund Structural Deficit Projections
Fiscal Years 2007-2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY2007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Spending Pressures**
- **Revenues**
Policy Options

- Reduce rate of spending pressure growth
- Increase revenue growth
- Bend the two curves so they meet
Spending Pressures

- Corrections incarceration policies
- Health care costs—reduce rate of increase and overall costs
  - Medicaid
  - Employee health insurance
  - Retiree health care
Revenues

• Change system so revenues grow in line with economy and personal income
• Consider taxing services
• Modify personal income tax by changing rate and exemptions—or—implement graduated income tax (Constitutional amendment required)
• Consider taxing pensions and other retirement income (area of greatest income growth in future)
• Reduce reliance on “sin” taxes
Achieving Structural Balance

- Revenue system changes alone will not be sufficient
- Policy changes reducing spending pressure growth will be required
- Health care is the principal target
- National action may be required
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